

REMARKS

The Applicant respectfully requests entry of the above amendment and reconsideration in view of the amendment and the following remarks.

New claims 17-26 correspond approximately in the same order to claims 2-14 of the preliminary amendment filed concurrently with this application. That is claim 17 corresponds approximately with canceled claim 2, claim 18 corresponds approximately to canceled claim 4, etc. All the changes with respect to the claims of the original specification are generally grammatical in nature and are not intended to change the subject matter of the claims to meet any requirements for patentability. New claim 27 corresponds to claim 16 of the preliminary amendment and new claim 29 corresponds to claim 15 of the preliminary amendment. The subject matter of new claim 28 is presented for the first time and is supported by figure 2 and it is inherent that the material of the coils must allow adjustment of the spacing thereof.

In response to the rejection of claims 2, 4-12 and 15-16, under 35 U.S.C. §112, 2nd ¶, the rejection is moot in view of the amendment.

In response to the double patenting rejection, this application divisional resulting from the restriction requirement of 5/20/99 in parent application 09/217,429. Claims 2 and 14 of the original specification filed herein, constitute the claims of a not elected invention.

The claims are definite and distinguished from the citations and Applicant respectfully requests the allowance of all claims.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit any overpayment or charge any fee (except the issue fee) including fees for any required extension of time, to Account No. 14-1270.

Respectfully submitted,

By Michael E. Belk
Michael E. Belk, Reg. 33,357
Patent Attorney
(914) 333-9643

s:\bc\la23583b.am3.ber.doc

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 12 2003

OFFICIAL